Regulatory T Cell Lineage Specification by the Forkhead Transcription Factor Foxp3

settings of immune activation. 2Department of Immunology The X chromosome-encoded forkhead transcription 3Department of Biological Structure

generate a CD4+CD25+ T_R cell population (Fontenot et
acts as the regulatory T cell lineage specification
factor and mediator of the genetic mechanism of do-
minant tolerance. We show that expression of Foxp3
of $\frac{1}{2}$ is highly restricted to the subset $\alpha\beta$ of T cells and, is highly restricted to the subset $\alpha\beta$ of 1 cells and, \qquad [et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0). However, in these studies, only a subset irrespective of CD25 expression, correlates with sup**irrespective of CD25 expression, correlates with sup- of the Foxp3-expressing cells upregulated CD25 expressor activity. Induction of Foxp3 expression in pression and acquired suppressor function after 2 gen-driven immune responses, and Foxp3 deficiency those cells expressing the highest levels of Foxp3 ac**does not impact the functional responses of nonregu-

latory T cells. Furthermore, T cell-specific ablation of sess suppressor activity in vitro (Hori et al., 2003). To-**Foxp3 is sufficient to induce the identical early onset** gether, these studies suggest that CD25 expression lymphoproliferative syndrome observed in Foxp3- correlates more closely with suppressor activity than **lymphoproliferative syndrome observed in Foxp3- correlates more closely with suppressor activity than thymic development suggests that this mechanism is the cell intrinsic negative regulation of CD4⁺ T cell actinot hard-wired but is dependent on TCR/MHC ligand vation [\(Clark et al., 1999; Khattri et al., 2001; Schubert](#page-11-0) interactions. [et al., 2001\)](#page-11-0). Furthermore, low levels of Foxp3 expres-**

Despite a large body of evidence demonstrating the
functional properties of regulatory T cells (T_R) [\(Shevach,](#page-11-0)
[2002](#page-11-0)), an unambiguous molecular definition of this cell
2002), an unambiguous molecular definition of this ce [al., 1995\)](#page-11-0). While other molecules have been suggested
to identify unique T_R cell populations, only the
CD4⁺CD25⁺ T_R cell population has been consistently
shown to display suppressive properties across spe-
cies an

u.washington.edu (A.Y.R.) diator of the genetic mechanism of dominant tolerance.

Jason D. Fontenot,2,* Jeffrey P. Rasmussen,2 mation in multiple organs. Nevertheless, the value of Luke M. Williams,² James L. Dooley,^{2,3} CD25 as a marker of the T_R cell population is limited.
Andrew G. Farr,^{2,3} and Alexander Y. Rudensky^{1,2,*} CD25 is highly expressed on both activated CD4⁺ and **CD25 is highly expressed on both activated CD4⁺ and** ¹ Howard Hughes Medical Institute **1990 CD8**⁺ T cells, obviating its utility in studying T_R cells in

University of Washington factor, Foxp3, was recently identified as a key player in CD4⁺CD25⁺ T_R cell biology. Unlike CD25, CTLA-4, CP4⁺CD25⁺ T_R cell biology. Unlike CD25, CTLA-4, GITR, and LAG-3 (markers proposed to define the T_R **cell population), Foxp3 is not upregulated in recently activated CD4+CD25− T cells. Consistent with a funda-Summary mental breakdown in self-tolerance, mice genetically** Regulatory T cell-mediated dominant tolerance has
been demonstrated to play an important role in the
prevention of autoimmunity. Here, we present data ar-
guing that the forkhead transcription factor F oxp3 denerate a CD **minant tolerance. We show that expression of Foxp3 activity [\(Fontenot et al., 2003; Hori et al., 2003; Khattri](#page-11-0)** weeks in vivo [\(Fontenot et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0). Similarly, only sess suppressor activity in vitro [\(Hori et al., 2003](#page-11-0)). To**deficient mice. Analysis of Foxp3 expression during Foxp3 expression. Foxp3 has also been implicated in sion have been reported in CD4+CD25− and CD8+ T cells as well as in B220+ cells [\(Brunkow et al., 2001;](#page-11-0) Introduction [Fontenot et al., 2003; Hori et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0) implying an as**

of lymphopenic mice with CD25− T cells results in the and *protein-reporter knockin allele. Analysis of <i>Foxp3^{gfp}*</sup> development of slowly progressing autoimmune inflam-
development of slowly progressing autoimmune i mice demonstrates that Foxp3 expression identifies the T_R cell population. Our results argue that Foxp3 func-*Correspondence: fontenot@u.washington.edu (J.D.F.); aruden@ **tions as the T_R cell lineage specification factor and me-**

Figure 1. The *Foxp3gfp* **Allele**

(A) Maps of the targeting construct and targeted *Foxp3gfp* **allele. Asterisks denote the location of external probes used for a Southern blot screen of ES cell clone DNA digested with the indicated restriction endonucleases. Black triangles denote oligonucleotide primers for PCR genotyping of the targeted allele.**

(B) Enlarged view of the first coding exon of the *Foxp3gfp* **allele with the amino acid translation of the open reading frame below. The red "ATG" denotes the endogenous Foxp3 start codon. The green sequence denotes the eGFP-coding sequence.**

(C) Scale diagram of the Foxp3gfp chimeric protein.

To facilitate the analysis of Foxp3 expression at the sin-
analysis on this population. *Foxp3^{gp}* mice had normal **gle-cell level, we generated gene-targeted mice in percentages of CD4+CD25+ T cells [\(Figure 2C](#page-2-0)), and which the complete eGFP coding sequence was in- these cells expressed characteristic patterns of mulserted in-frame into the first coding exon of the** *Foxp3* **tiple cell surface markers, including CTLA-4, GITR, and gene (Figures 1A and 1B and Figure S1; see the Supple- CD103 (Figure S1). Furthermore, in vitro functional** mental Data available with this article online). The re-
sulting allele (Foxp3^{gfp}) encodes a chimeric GFP-Foxp3 Foxp3^{gfp} and Foxp3^{wt} mice suppressed the proliferative **fusion protein (Foxp3gfp), having eGFP inserted down- response of wt CD4+CD25− T cells with equal potency** stream of the first five N-terminal amino acids of Foxp3 [\(Figure 2D](#page-2-0)). To test the competitive fitness of *Foxp3^{gfp}* and upstream of the entire remaining Foxp3 molecule versus $Foxp3^{wt}$ CD4+CD25+ T_R cells, we analyzed fe-

cell due to random X chromosome inactivation [\(Schu-](#page-11-0) $CD4+CD25+T_R$ cell population [\(Fontenot et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0), **[bert et al., 2001\)](#page-11-0), and only a single allele is present in random X chromosome inactivation predicts a 50:50 ra**male cells. Thus, to be useful in studying the function tio of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells expressing either allele. Al**of Foxp3, it was incumbent that the** *Foxp3gfp* **allele though there was significant variability from mouse to** faithfully recapitulate Foxp3 function. Consistent with mouse, analysis of both CD4⁺ SP thymocytes and pe**this, male mice hemizygous for the** $F\alpha p3^{gfp}$ **allele and ripheral CD4⁺ T cells revealed an average ratio of** \sim **1 homozygous** *Foxp3^{gfp/gfp} female mice remained as* between the proportions of GFP⁺ to GFP⁻ cells within **healthy as their wild-type (wt) littermates throughout the CD4+CD25+ T cell population [\(Figure 2E](#page-2-0)). These the period of observation (up to 9 months). Initial FACS populations expressed identical patterns of the cell** analysis of *Foxp3^{gfp}* mice revealed a small, discrete surface markers analyzed above (data not shown). To**gether, these results argue that the** *Foxp3gfp* **population of GFP-expressing cells, confirming expres- allele fully sion of the** *Foxp3gfp* **allele [\(Figure 2A](#page-2-0)). Consistent with recapitulates Foxp3 function. the nuclear localization of Foxp3, examination of individual GFP⁺ cells with deconvolution microscopy re**vealed GFP expression almost exclusively within the **Foxp3 Expression Identifies the T_R Cell Population**
nucleus (Figure 2B). FACS analysis showed that To gain insight into the biological function of Foxp *Foxp3gfp* **and** *Foxp3wt* **mice had equivalent percentages through its cell type-specific expression pattern, we an-**

Results of all major lymphoid populations examined, including T cells and thymocytes (Figure S1).

Generation and Characterization **Since, Foxp3** has been implicated in the thymic de**of the** *Foxp3^{gfp}* **Allele vertebra velopment of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T_R** cells, we next focused our *Foxp3^{gfp}* and *Foxp3^{wt}* mice suppressed the proliferative **male mice heterozygous for the** *Foxp3gfp* **(Figure 1C). allele. Be-Only a single** *Foxp3* **allele is active in a given female cause Foxp3 is required for development of the**

To gain insight into the biological function of Foxp3

Figure 2. The *Foxp3gfp* **Allele Fully Recapitulates Wild-Type Foxp3 Function**

(A) Expression of Foxp3gfp in lymphocytes from *Foxp3gfp* **mice. Flow cytometric analysis of live gated lymph node cells from male littermates of the indicated genotype.**

(B) Nuclear localization of Foxp3gfp. Typical single-cell profile of purified CD4+CD25+ T cells from a *Foxp3gfp* **mouse that were counterstained with DAPI and analyzed by deconvolution microscopy.**

(C) Analysis of CD4+CD25+ T cell subsets in *Foxp3gfp* **and** *Foxp3wt* **mice. Flow cytometric analysis of live gated lymph node cells from littermate male mice of the indicated genotype.**

(D) Comparable suppressor function of *Foxp3gfp* **and** *Foxp3wt* **CD4+CD25+ T cells. An in vitro suppression assay was performed by using Con A stimulation of cocultures of 2 × 10⁴ wt CD4+CD25− T cells as responders, 8 × 10⁴ irradiated** *TCR*bd**−/− splenocytes as APCs, and titrated numbers of CD4+CD25+ T cells from littermate male mice of the indicated genotype as suppressors. Data are presented as mean cpm 3Hthymidine incorporation in triplicate cultures. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.**

(E) Analysis of *Foxp3gfp* **and** *Foxp3wt* **CD4+CD25+ T cell subsets in female heterozygous** *Foxp3gfp/wt* **mice. Representative FACS analysis of CD4+ cell gated lymph node cells from a female** *Foxp3gfp/wt* **mouse. The box plots show the average GFP+/GFP− ratio among CD4+CD25+ cells as gated accompanying FACS plot from thymus and lymph node within the same animal (n = 10). Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.**

alyzed Foxp3gfp expression within the major hemato- that, within the hematopoietic lineage, Foxp3 is uniquely poietic lineages. Foxp3^{gfp} expression is highly restricted expressed in αβ T cells. To determine if Foxp3 was ex-(>99.8%) to TCRβ**⁺ cells [\(Figure 3A](#page-3-0)). Lack of Foxp3gfp pressed in other nonhematopoietic cell types or tisexpression in TCR**γδ⁺ and NK1.1⁺ populations, which sues, we conducted immunohistochemical analysis of **include both NK and NKT cells, further demonstrates tissues from** *RAG1−/− Foxp3gfp* **mice. Remarkably, no that Foxp3 expression is limited to** αβ **T cells. No Foxp3 expression was detected in the thymus, second-Foxp3gfp expression was observed in other hematopoi- ary lymphoid organs, or nonlymphoid tissues, including etic lineages, including B cells, macrophages, and den- brain, lung, liver, skin, and testes (data not shown). dritic cells, either in unmanipulated mice or upon in vi- Among lymph node cells, Foxp3 expression was largely restricted to CD4+ T cells (>97% of Foxp3gfp+ tro activation with immunostimulatory molecules including LPS, CpG DNA, and zymosan (data not cells are CD4+CD8−), but small populations of Foxp3 expressing TCR**β**⁺ shown). Consistent with these observations, FACS cells expressed CD8, both CD4 and analysis of splenocytes, lymph node cells, and thymo- CD8 (DP), or neither CD4 nor CD8 (DN) [\(Figure 3C](#page-3-0)). No** cytes from RAG1^{-/−} Foxp3^{gfp} mice, which lack all T and significant difference in Foxp3^{gfp} levels were observed **B cells, revealed a complete loss of Foxp3gfp-express- among these four populations (data not shown). Distri-**ing cells [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)B and data not shown). Furthermore, bution of Foxp3^{gfp+} T cells among the CD4/CD8 popαβ **T cell-restricted expression of Foxp3 was main- ulations was similar in the lymph nodes, spleen, periph**tained after infection of *Foxp3^{gfp}* mice with *Listeria* eral blood, bone marrow, and peripheral tissues, e.g., *monocytogenes* **(L.m.), LCMV, or** *Mycobacterium tuber-* **lung (data not shown). Since CD25 has been used as a** *culosis* (M.tb.) (data not shown). Thus, we conclude marker for T_R cells, we next examined CD25 expression

Figure 3. Foxp3 Expression Is Restricted to a Subset of αβ **T Cells**

(A) Foxp3 expression is restricted to TCRβ**⁺ cells. Flow cytometric analysis of Foxp3gfp expression in live gated lymph node cells.**

(B) Foxp3-expressing cells are lost in RAG-deficient mice. Flow cytometric analysis of live gated spleen cells from *RAG1***−/− mice of the indicated genotype.**

(C) CD4 and CD8 expression among Foxp3-expressing cells. Flow cytometric analysis of lymph node cells from *Foxp3gfp* **mice; plot is gated on Foxp3gfp+ live cells.**

(D) CD4 and CD25 expression among Foxp3-expressing cells. Representative flow cytometric analysis of Foxp3gfp+ cells from the indicated organ; cells within the live Foxp3gfp+ gate are shown.

(E) Foxp3 and CD25 expression in the CD4⁺ T cell population. Flow cytometric analysis of lymph node cells within the live CD4+ gate are shown.

Foxp3gfp− or CD4⁺ cells depleted of all Foxp3gfp+ within the total Foxp3 cells. gfp+ population. In healthy 6- to 8 week-old mice w**82% of lymph node Foxp3-expressing Finally, in vitro suppression assays revealed that both** cells were CD4⁺CD25^{hi} (Figure 3D). In the spleen the per-
the CD4⁺CD25^{hi} **Foxp3**gfp+ **populations suppressed CD4+ centage of CD4+CD25hi Foxp3gfp+ T cells dropped to T cell proliferation with equal potency, while neither the CD4+CD25−Foxp3gfp−** w**60% of Foxp3gfp+ cells. Remarkably, less than 50%** of Foxp3^{gfp+} lymphocytes isolated from PBS-perfused cells nor the CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3^{gfp-} cells showed sup-
Iung expressed high levels of CD25. Thus Foxp3^{gfp} ex- pressor activity (Figure 4D). We conclude that Foxp3 lung expressed high levels of CD25. Thus, Foxp3^{gfp} ex-

pression, and not CD25 expression, directly corre-

pression is largely restricted to CD4t T colls, and a sig-

expression, and not CD25 expression, directly correpression is largely restricted to CD4+ T cells, and a sig-
nificant percentage of Foxp3^{gfp+} T cells express little or lates with T_R cell function. **no CD25.**

To address the relationship between Foxp3 and CD25
expression Profiling of CD4
analysis on the total CD4⁺ T cell population. This pop-
ulation includes the vast majority (>97%) of Foxp3-
expressing cells. Analysis of CD a population of CD25⁺Foxp3^{gfp-} cells, CD25^hiFoxp3^{gfp-}
cells, and a Foxp3^{gfp+} population expressing little or no
CD25 (CD25¹^oFoxp3^{gfp+}) (Figure 3E). The presence of
both Foxp3^{gfp+} and Foxp3^{gfp-} CD25-exp **ulations allowed us to directly address the relationship of the three "non-naive" populations. Genes with fold**between CD25 expression and T_R cell function. To this changes meeting stringent selection criteria (see Ex-

end, the four indicated subpopulations of CD4⁺ T cells charmental Procedures) were chosen, and these gene **[\(Figure 4A](#page-5-0)) were purified to >90% purity by using a lists were analyzed for similarities and differences. combination of magnetic cell separation and FACS Comparisons of the overlapping gene sets reveal that sorting. Functional analysis revealed that both popula- the two Foxp3-expressing populations (CD25hi versus** stimulation with ConA and APCs in vitro [\(Figure 4C](#page-5-0)). In anature, whereas the CD25⁺Foxp3^{gfp-} population exhib-

contrast, the CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3^{gfp-} cells proliferated to ited little overlap with either of the Foxp3 **a** much greater extent than either the CD4⁺CD25[−] tions [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)E). In addition to very high levels of Foxp3

perimental Procedures) were chosen, and these gene CD25^{lo}) share a largely common gene expression sig**ited little overlap with either of the Foxp3^{gfp+} popula-** **mRNA, both Foxp3gfp+ cell subsets displayed increased peptide, Ova) rescues Foxp3-deficient mice from the expression of IL-10, CD103, Klrg1, Nrp1, GITR, ICOS, lymphoproliferative autoimmune syndrome [\(Zahorsky-](#page-12-0)[Reeves and Wilkinson, 2001\)](#page-12-0). OT-II** *RAG1−/−Foxp3−* **Fgl2, Gpr83, and CTLA-4 and decreased expression of FasL, Sema4a, IL-4, Pde3b, and Pde7a [\(Figure 4F](#page-5-0))—a mice were healthy and phenotypically indistinguishable** from their OT-II *RAG1^{-/−}Foxp3⁺ littermates. Absolute* cells (Gavin et al., 2002; McHugh et al., 2002; McHugh et al., 2002; McHugh et al., 2002; These numbers and relative percentages of thymocyte and **cells [\(Gavin et al., 2002; McHugh et al., 2002\)](#page-11-0). These numbers and relative percentages of thymocyte and peripheral T cell subpopulations in OT-II** *RAG1−/−* **data demonstrate that both the CD25hi and CD25lo Foxp3[−] and OT-II** *RAG1^{−/−}Foxp3⁺ littermates were iden- populations share a T and P c c c <i>compared iden- arque that Foxp3 expression specifies this transcripantical based upon expression of CD4, CD* **argue that Foxp3 expression specifies this transcrip- tical based upon expression of CD4, CD8, TCR, and a**

CD44, IL2R α / β , and hexokinase). Second, the CD25⁺
Foxp3^{gfp-} cells show a gene expression profile characteristic of Foxp3 deficiency on naive CD4⁺ T cell function.
teristic of activated/effector T cells (increa erisic of activated effector 1 cells (increased expressed in the mounted identical proliferative response to tit-

sion of IL-2, IL-4, IL-17, T-bet, and Edg3, and decreased

CD62L expression). FACS analysis of the CD25⁺ Expression; FROS analysis of the OD25

Foxp3^{gfp-} population corroborates this analysis, show-

ing a significant enrichment of cells with an activated

phenotype—expressing high levels of CD69 and de-

creased levels of nally, comparison of gene expression levels between
the CD25^{lo} and CD25^{lo} Foxp3-expressing populations
suggests that the CD25^{lo} Foxp3^{gfp+} population may be
enriched for effector or tissue-homing cells [\(Figure 4F](#page-5-0)). **Relative to the CD25^{hi} population, the CD25¹°Foxp3^{gfp+} marrow chimeras by transferring** *Foxp3***[−] CD45.1⁻ and cells have increased expression of ICOS, CCR2,** *Foxp3***⁺ CD45.1⁺ congenic bone marrow into subletha CXCR3, CCR5, and β1-integrin. Again, FACS analysis** irradiated *TCRβδ*-deficient recipient mice. As in hetero-
 reveals that this population is enriched for cells ex-
 zygous Foxp3^{+/-} female mice. the presence of <i>F **pressing high levels of CD69 and decreased levels of bone marrow-derived cells rescues the lymphoprolifer-CD62L and CD45RB [\(Figure 4A](#page-5-0)). Consistent with these ative autoimmune syndrome [\(Fontenot et al., 2003](#page-11-0)). In data, this subset is also significantly enriched for pro- this setting, functional responses of naive T cells de***i***iferating cells expressing Ki67, a cell cycle-associ-

and** *Foxp3⁺* and *Foxp3⁻* bone marrow, as distin-
 ated nuclear protein (Figure 4B). These data are also guished by expression of CD45.1, can be directly **ated nuclear protein [\(Figure 4B](#page-5-0)). These data are also guished by expression of CD45.1, can be directly** in agreement with the enrichment of CD4⁺CD25¹ tested in the same mouse. Mixed bone marrow chim-Foxp3^{gfp+} T cells in the lungs of both uninfected and eric mice were infected with LCMV or ovalbumin**chronically M.tb.-infected mice [\(Figure 3C](#page-3-0) and data expressing L.m. (rLmOva) 8−12 weeks post-bone marnot shown). row reconstitution, and antigen-specific responses of**

Exercise of the Foxp3⁻ CD45.1⁻ and Foxp3⁺ CD45.1⁺
secretion by CD4⁺ T cells isolated from Foxp3-deficient
scurfy mice has led to the proposal that Foxp3 may
also act as a cell-intrinsic negative regulator of CD4 T cell activation and inflammatory cytokine production
 [\(Clark et al., 1999; Khattri et al., 2001; Schubert et al.,](#page-11-0)
 [2001](#page-11-0)). It has also been suggested that $Foxp3$ -deficient

CD4⁺ T cells exhibit a decreased dependence o **ongoing lymphoproliferative syndrome in these mice against a cell-intrinsic role for Foxp3 in regulating the test whether Foxp3 deficiency can affect the dose de- cells. pendence of, or costimulation requirements for, antigen-specific responses of naive nonregulatory CD4⁺ T "Adaptive" Foxp3-Expressing T_R Cells Are Not cells. To this end, we bred the** *Foxp3−* **allele onto OT-II Generated De Novo in the Course TCR transgenic** *RAG1−/−* **mice. As reported, restricting of Acute Immune Responses the TCR repertoire to a single specificity for a known Early studies suggested that antigen-driven differentia-**

tional program.

Lations reveals several other distinct gene expression

lations reveals several other distinct gene expression

parterns [\(Figure 4F](#page-5-0)). First, data sets from all three "non-

naive" populations share genes

Foxp3⁺ CD45.1⁺ congenic bone marrow into sublethally zygous Foxp3^{+/−} female mice, the presence of *Foxp3⁺* **both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells were assessed on day 7** No Cell Intrinsic Role for Foxp3 in Effector

T Cell Function

The data presented thus far support the hypothesis

that Foxp3 acts as the T_R cell lineage specification

factor. However, increased production and cytokine **percentages of** *Foxp3+* **and** *Foxp3***[−] T cells remained** expansion and cytokine production of nonregulatory T

foreign antigen (in this case the ovalbumin 323–339 tion of precursor T cells may result in de novo genera-

Figure 4. T_R Cell Phenotype and Function Correlates with Foxp3 Expression

(A) Flow cytometric analysis of Foxp3/CD25-expressing subpopulations of lymph node CD4+ cells. Histograms are shown for cells from each of the subpopulations as indicated in the first FACS plot (left panel) and correspond to the gated population of the same color and position in the first plot (CD25loFoxp3gfp+, blue; CD25hiFoxp3gfp+, green; CD25+Foxp3gfp−, red; CD25−Foxp3gfp−, black).

(B) Flow cytometric identification of proliferating Ki67+ cells within Foxp3/CD25-expressing subpopulations of lymph node CD4+ T cells. Color-coded gates for four cell subsets are the same as above.

(C) In vitro proliferative responses of the indicated purified Foxp3/CD25-expressing CD4+ T cell subpopulations (1 × 104 cells/well) from

[al., 1979](#page-11-0)). This would imply that a dominant suppres- to suppress immune pathology not resulting from sion mechanism, i.e., Foxp3, is induced as feedback CD4⁺CD25⁺ T_R cell defects such as in allograft trans**regulation to limit conventional antigen-specific im- plantation [\(Wood and Sakaguchi, 2003\)](#page-12-0). Although we mune responses. To address this issue, CD4+Foxp3gfp− have not observed expression of Foxp3 in non-T cells, T** cells from OT-II TCR transgenic *Foxp3^{gfp}* mice were this negative result does not exclude the possibility that **FACS sorted to >99.8% purity and stimulated either in a deficiency in Foxp3 expression in other cell types vitro in the presence of the Ova peptide and irradiated may contribute to the aggressive lymphoproliferative APCs, or in vivo by adoptive transfer into unirradiated syndrome of Foxp3-deficient mice. CD45.1 To directly resolve this major outstanding issue, we ⁺ congenic recipients followed by infection**

by titrated amounts of Ova peptide for various periods flanked Foxp3 allele [\(Fontenot et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0) to CD4-Cre from 12 hr to 7 days revealed no detectable Foxp3 transgenic mice [\(Wolfer et al., 2001\)](#page-11-0). Consistent with a upregulation at any time point [\(Figure 5D](#page-7-0) and data not unique role for Foxp3 in T cells, male CD4-Cre *Foxp3lox* **shown). Similarly, no Foxp3 expression was detected in mice develop the outward symptoms of Foxp3 defiadoptively transferred OT-II CD4+ T cells 7 days postin- ciency, including runting and severe exfoliative dermafection with rLmOva [\(Figure 5](#page-7-0)D). In these experiments, titis with the exact same kinetics and severity as antigen-specific activation of the adoptively transferred age-matched** *Foxp3[−]* **mice. At day 14 of age, lympho-OT-II** *Foxp3^{gfp}* T cells was confirmed by their expansion *proliferation, as evidenced by total lymph node cellular***and cell surface expression of characteristic activation ity, was equivalent in CD4-Cre** *Foxp3lox* **and** *Foxp3−* **mice [\(Figure 6A](#page-8-0)). Furthermore, CD4⁺ markers (CD25, CD69, CD62L) in rLmOva-infected, but T cells from mice not in wt L.m.-infected or uninfected recipient mice of both genotypes contained equivalent proportions of [\(Figure 5](#page-7-0)D and data not shown). These data do not rule cells expressing a panel of activation markers, includout the possibility that under certain conditions some T ing CD69, CD62L, CD25, and CD44 [\(Figure 6B](#page-8-0)). Thus, cells can upregulate Foxp3. However, our experiments T cell-specific ablation of Foxp3 results in a lympho**demonstrate that de novo generation of "adaptive" T_R proliferative autoimmune syndrome identical to that ob**served in Foxp3 cells by Foxp3 induction in nonregulatory T cells does [−] mice. not occur in the course of acute pathogen-driven immune responses. TCR/MHC Interactions Drive T_R Cell Development**

The dramatic difference in the phenotype of Foxp3- gue that Foxp3 functions as the lineage specification deficient mice when compared to mice depleted of factor for T_R cells. Thus, understanding the signals that **CD25+ cells [\(McHugh and Shevach, 2002; Taguchi and](#page-11-0) induce Foxp3 expression in the thymus should illumi-[Takahashi, 1996\)](#page-11-0) or lymphopenic mice reconstituted nate the process by which this cell fate choice is made. that Foxp3 may have immunoregulatory functions out- Foxp3 expression was largely restricted to CD4 SP thyside the T cell compartment or, perhaps, the hemato- mocytes (**w**83% of Foxp3gfp+ thymocytes) [\(Figure 7A](#page-8-0)). poietic system. While the lymphoproliferative autoim- However, minor populations of CD8 SP, DP, and DN** munity observed in Foxp3-deficient mice can be cells were also evident. These populations of Foxp3^{gfp+} **ameliorated in the short term by neonatal transfer of cells expressed equivalent levels of TCR (data not CD4+CD25+ TR cells [\(Fontenot et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0), this result shown). To assess the maturation stage of Foxp3 only demonstrates the remarkable immunosuppressive expressing thymocytes, we analyzed CD24, or heat**potential of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T_R cells, but does not prove a stable antigen (HSA), expression. CD24 is downregu**unique function for Foxp3 within this cell type. This fact lated on mature SP thymocytes after transition from the**

tion of antigen-specific suppressor T cells [\(Tandon et](#page-11-0) is highlighted by the ability of CD4+CD25+ TR cells

with rLmOva. **The interval of the set of the specifi-** generated mice in which Foxp3 was deleted specifi-**FACS analysis of OT-II CD4 cally in** αβ **T cells by crossing mice harboring a loxP- ⁺ T cells activated in vitro**

The signals driving T_R cell development in the thymus **T Cell-Specific Ablation of Foxp3 have not been defined. The data presented thus far arwith CD25[−] T cells [\(Sakaguchi et al., 1995\)](#page-11-0) suggested Analysis of the Foxp3gfp+ thymocytes revealed that**

Foxp3^{gfp} mice cultured in the presence of Con A and irradiated *TCR* $\beta \delta^{-/-}$ splenocytes (4 × 10⁴ cells/well) as APCs. (CD25^{lo}Foxp3^{gfp+}, blue; **CD25hiFoxp3gfp+, green; CD25+Foxp3gfp−, red; CD25−Foxp3gfp−, black; see [Figure 4D](#page-11-0) legend). A representative of three experiments is shown. (D) Foxp3 expression defines regulatory T cells within both CD25hi and CD25lo CD4 T cell subsets. An in vitro suppression assay was performed by using Con A stimulation of cocultures of 1 × 104 Foxp3gfp− T cells as responders, 4 × 104 irradiated** *TCR*bd **−/− splenocytes as APCs, and titrated numbers of indicated populations of purified Foxp3/CD25-expressing CD4+ T cells from** *Foxp3gfp* **mice as suppressors starting at a 1 × 10⁴ cells/well. Data in (C) and (D) are presented as mean cpm 3H-thymidine incorporation in triplicate cultures. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.**

⁽E and F) Comparison of gene expression profiles between four subsets of Foxp3/CD25-expressing CD4+ T cells from *Foxp3gfp* **mice. (E) Overlap among gene lists generated by microarray expression profiling of each of the four FACS-sorted, Foxp3/CD25-expressing CD4+ subpopulations described above. Differentially expressed genes were selected by comparing each of the indicated populations against the CD4+CD25−Foxp3gfp− population as described in the [Experimental Procedures.](#page-11-0) The Venn diagram illustrates the number of genes shared between the three lists. (F) Curated heatmaps of distinct expression profiles revealed by microarray analysis of the four Foxp3/CD25 CD4+ subpopulations. The genes for the first heatmap were selected from the 228 overlapping genes between the two Foxp3-expressing populations; for the second heatmap, genes were selected from the 267 genes unique to the CD25+ Foxp3gfp− population; and for the third heatmap, genes were selected from the 133 genes differentially expressed by all three non-naive populations. Colors indicate log2 fold-change versus the baseline CD25−Foxp3gfp− population. The rightmost panel illustrates differences in the mRNA expression profiles of the two Foxp3 expressing populations. For all four panels, genes were selected from the gene sets indicated in [Figure 4E](#page-11-0).**

Immunity 336

Figure 5. Lack of a Detectable Cell-Intrinsic Role for Foxp3 in Control of Nonregulatory CD4+ T Cells

(A) Foxp3 deficiency does not affect proliferative responses of naive CD4+ T cells. Proliferative responses of 2 × 104 CD4+ T cells from OT-II *RAG1***−/−***Foxp3***[−] or** *Foxp3***⁺ mice to titrated amounts of Ova peptide in the presence of 4 × 104 irradiated CD11c+ Flt3L-induced wt or** *CD80***−/−***CD86***−/− dendritic cells. Data are presented as mean cpm 3H-thymidine incorporation in triplicate cultures. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.**

(B and C) Comparable cytokine production by pathogen-specific *Foxp3***⁺ (CD45.1+) or** *Foxp3***[−] (CD45.1−) CD4+ T cells from mixed bone marrow chimeras. Intracellular staining for indicated cytokines after (B) in vitro restimulation with LLO190-201 peptide of splenocytes isolated from mice 7 days after rLmOva infection and (C) in vitro restimulation with GP66 peptide of splenocytes isolated from mice 8 days after LCMV infection. Representative FACS plots of three or more mice per group are gated on CD4+ T cells. One of two similar experiments is shown. (D) Lack of antigen-driven Foxp3 upregulation in nonregulatory CD4+ T cells upon antigenic challenge in vitro and in vivo. The leftmost panel shows representative flow cytometric analysis of Foxp3gfp−CD4+ T cells sorted from OT-II** *Foxp3gfp* **mice prior to activation in vitro or in vivo. The panel second from the left shows analysis of transferred T cells on day 7 of in vitro activation in the presence of CD45.1+ dendritic cells and Ova peptide. The last three panels show transferred T cells 8 days after infection of CD45.1⁺ host mice with indicated pathogen. Mice were infected 2 days after i.v. transfer of purified T cells. The gates for donor CD45.1− cells are shown.**

DP stage. Analysis of the CD24^{lo}Foxp3^{gfp+} population To more clearly delineate the relationship between **revealed a substantial decrease in the percentage of thymic populations of Foxp3-expressing cells and to Foxp3^{gfp+}DP^{hi} cells but no significant change in CD4 investigate a role for TCR signaling in Foxp3 induction, SP, CD8 SP, DP^{dull}, or DN populations when compared we crossed** *Foxp3^{gfp}* **mice on to MHC class I-defici to total Foxp3^{gfp+} cells [\(Figures 7A](#page-8-0) and 7B). Consistent** ($\beta_2M^{-/-}$), MHC class II-deficient (*A* β ^{*b* -/−}), and dou-
with the low level of CD24 expression by the majority ble-deficient backgrounds ($\beta_2M^{-/-}A\beta$ **ble-deficient backgrounds (** $\beta_2M^{-/-}A\beta^{b}$ ^{-/-}). Analysis of Foxp3-expressing thymocytes, immunohistochemi-
 $\beta_2M^{-/-}Fox$ p3-expressing thymocytes, immunohistochemi-
 $\beta_2M^{-/-}Fox$ p^{g/p} mice clearly demonstrates the de of Foxp3-expressing thymocytes, immunohistochemi-

cal analysis revealed that the majority of Foxp3^{gfp+} cells dence of the DP^{dull} and CD8 SP Foxp3^{gfp+} populations **are found in the thymic medulla, the site known to har- on MHC class I expression [\(Figures 7A](#page-8-0) and 7B). Likebor the most mature thymocyte population [\(Figure 7C](#page-8-0)). wise, development of the CD4 SP population is contin-The few Foxp3gfp+ cells within the thymic cortex were gent upon MHC class II expression. No compensatory** in proximity to the cortico-medullary junction. **increase in the absolute numbers of CD8 SP Foxp3**^{gfp+}

we crossed *Foxp3^{gfp}* mice on to MHC class I-deficient **dence of the DP^{dull} and CD8 SP Foxp3^{gfp+} populations**

Figure 6. T Cell-Specific Deletion of Foxp3 Recapitulates the Lymphoproliferative Autoimmune Syndrome of Germline Foxp3-Deficient Mice

(A) Lymph node cellularity of 14-day-old wt, *Foxp3***−, and CD4-Cre** *Foxp3lox* **mice and photographs of lymph nodes and spleen from these mice.**

(B) Expression of activation markers on CD4+ T cells in 14-day-old wt, *Foxp3***−, and CD4-Cre** *Foxp3lox* **mice. Representative flow cytometric data gated on CD4+ TCR**β**⁺ cells are shown.**

Foxp3 expression was completely lost in all thymo- monstrate that Foxp3 has a critical role in CD4+CD25+ ture CD24^{hi} DP populations, in $β_2M^{-/-}Aβ^{b-/-}$ double-
deficient mice. These results identify both MHC class ciency. Foxp3 has been suggested to function as a **expressing T cells developing in the thymus. Further- a transcriptional "effector" of an anti-inflammatory cy-**

also been shown to confer suppressor activity on some pression is not limited to CD4+CD25+ T cells. This im-

thymocytes was observed in MHC class II-deficient nonregulatory T cells [\(Fontenot et al., 2003; Hori et al.,](#page-11-0) mice relative to wt mice (data not shown). Remarkably, [2003; Khattri et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0). While these data clearly de c ytes, including expression in both the DN and imma- T_R cell biology, it was unclear if this role was sufficient ciency. Foxp3 has been suggested to function as a **I- and MHC class II-restricted populations of Foxp3- negative regulator of T cell activation and, perhaps, as more, they demonstrate that Foxp3 expression is in- tokine program [\(Clark et al., 1999; Khattri et al., 2001;](#page-11-0) dependent of commitment to either the CD4 or CD8 [Schubert et al., 2001\)](#page-11-0). Thus, a reasonable hypothesis lineage but strictly dependent on TCR/MHC interac- was that Foxp3 may play a common role in multiple cell tions. types both within and, perhaps, outside the immune system to regulate immune activation and inflamma-Discussion tion. Together, these roles could explain the aggressive life-threatening lymphoproliferation resulting from Foxp3 We have recently demonstrated that Foxp3 is required deficiency in both humans and mice. An alternative hy**for the thymic development of $CD4+CD25^+$ T_R cells pothesis would envision a unique role for Foxp3 in T_R **[\(Fontenot et al., 2003](#page-11-0)). Ectopic expression of Foxp3 has cell lineage specification. In this scenario, Foxp3 ex-**

Figure 7. Thymic Development of Foxp3⁺ T_R Cells

(A) MHC class I- and MHC class II-restricted Foxp3-expressing thymocyte populations. Flow cytometric analysis of Foxp3gfp+ thymocytes from Foxp3gfp mice on wt and MHC-deficient backgrounds. Plots are gated on total Foxp3gfp+ live cells from *Foxp3gfp* **mice of the indicated genotypes.**

(B) Flow cytometric analysis of mature CD24loFoxp3gfp+ thymocyte subsets from *Foxp3gfp* **mice on wt and MHC-deficient backgrounds.**

(C) Localization of Foxp3-expressing TR cell precursors within the thymus. A representative immunostained thymic section from a *Foxp3gfp* **mouse is shown; Foxp3gfp+ thymocytes (**α**GFP, green); thymic cortex (**α**BP-1, blue); thymic medulla (mAb 3G10, red).**

plies that deficiency in Foxp3 would result in complete of CD4+CD25loFoxp3gfp+ T cells and CD4+CD25hi Foxp3^{gfp+} **T** cells showed upregulation of a number of anism of dominant tolerance.
genes responsible for regulatory effector function or

suppressor activity. Foxp3 expression is limited to a

crells. It is likely that a portion of these cells has tran-

cells in both naive and pathogen-

challenged animals. Moreover, Foxp3 efficiency down equilatory of

ch subsets due to very limited cell numbers, elevated cell
surface expression of CD25, CTLA-4, and GITR on
these cells is consistent with these cells having similar
these cells is consistent with these cells having similar
f

The remarkably restricted expression of Foxp3 to a subset of αβ **T cells, as revealed in our analysis, is Activated/Effector CD4+CD25+Foxp3− T Cells** highly unusual for a transcription factor and suggests We have also identified a population of CD4⁺CD25⁺ **a recent evolutionary origin. Although a role for Foxp3 Foxp3gfp− T cells. These cells are highly enriched for in nonhematopoietic cells has been suggested to con- activated/effector cells as suggested by their transcriptribute to the aggressive lymphoproliferative syndrome tional profile demonstrating upregulation of cytokine or observed in Foxp3-deficient mice, we have found no cytokine regulation-related genes (e.g., IL-2, IL-4, T-bet), evidence of Foxp3 expression in nonhematopoietic tis- as well as genes related to cell cycle and apoptosis. sues. More importantly, it is clear that T cell-specific FACS analysis confirmed the activated phenotype of deletion of Foxp3 is sufficient to induce the identical CD4+CD25+Foxp3gfp− T cells. Furthermore, CD4+CD25+ Foxp3gfp− lymphoproliferative syndrome observed in mice with T cells exhibit a remarkably high proliferative germline Foxp3 deficiency. This result establishes a vi- potential in vitro and were significantly increased in** tal role for Foxp3-expressing T_R cells in the mainte-
numbers in the spleen, draining lymph nodes, and lung
nance of dominant tolerance to self. Moreover, it un-
of M.tb.-infected mice (data not shown). These results **equivocally demonstrates a deficiency in T_R cells as the suggest that, in normal mice, this subset contains T cause of the fatal IPEX autoimmune syndrome in hu- cells responding to microbial or autoantigens. These remans and its correlate in mice. sults again highlight the utility of Foxp3 in distinguish-**

mice revealed two intriguing populations: regulatory CD4+CD25loFoxp3gfp+ T cells and activated/effector Signals Required for Induction of Foxp3 CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3^{gfp−} T cells. The nature of the regula- in the Thymus **tory CD4+CD25loFoxp3gfp+ T cell population is of partic- What are the signals that induce Foxp3 expression and** ular interest. The importance of this population is un-
 T_R cell lineage commitment? In agreement with their **derscored by the fact that these cells comprise greater mature phenotype, we find the vast majority of Foxp3 than 50% of the tissue-infiltrating, Foxp3-expressing T expressing thymocytes localized to the medulla, with cell population in the lungs of both uninfected and the remaining Foxp3⁺ cells located in the deep cortex, M.tb.-infected Foxp3^{gfp} mice. These data highlight the** adjacent to the cortico-medullary junction. These latter limitations of using CD25 as a marker for T_R cells. Nota-
bly, the CD4⁺CD25¹⁰Foxp3^{gfp+} T cell population is en-
CD24^{hi}Foxp3^{gfp+} DP thymocytes. Foxp3-expressing SP **riched for cells with an activated surface pheno- and DP subsets appear concurrently during mouse detype and for proliferating cells. Consistent with these velopment and in fetal thymic organ culture (J.D.F. and findings, comparisons of the transcriptional profiles A.Y.R., unpublished data). Thus, we find no evidence**

genes responsible for regulatory effector function or **tissue migration such as ICOS, IL-10, CCR2, CXCR3,**

Foxp3 as the T_R Cell Lineage Specification Factor CCR5, and β 1-integrin in the CD25¹ population.

Our studies demonstrate that Foxp3 expression in $\alpha\beta$ T

cells, irrespective of CD25 expression, correlates with

of M.tb.-infected mice (data not shown). These results ing T_R from the activated/effector cells within the CD25^{to} T cell population, especially in settings of im-
Our analysis of the total CD4 population of the Foxp3^{gfp} mune activation.

CD24^{hi}Foxp3^{gfp+} DP thymocytes. Foxp3-expressing SP

for a precursor-product relationship between the and thus T_R cells, suggests that this mechanism **CD24 evolved to control T cell self-reactivity and autoimmune hiFoxp3gfp+ DP population and the CD24lo Foxp3**^{gfp+} populations. Furthermore, the highly diverse inflammation. As a consequence of this function, T_R TCR repertoire of the CD4⁺CD25⁺ T_R cell population ar-
cells cause downmodulation of chronic inflammation **gues against such a scenario [\(Hsieh et al., 2004; Taka-](#page-11-0) associated with other types of immune responses while**

mice demonstrates that TCR/MHC interactions are re- expression on TCR-peptide-MHC interactions in the quired for induction of Foxp3 expression. We observed thymus strongly argues that dominant tolerance is not Foxp3 expression in immature CD24^{hi} DP thymocytes a hard-wired, but an induced, mechanism of negative **in MHC class I-deficient, and MHC class II-deficient, as feedback regulation to maintain immune homeostasis well as wt backgrounds and an absolute MHC depen- through recognition of molecular self. dence for Foxp3 upregulation in these populations. These data argue that Foxp3 expression does not re- Experimental Procedures quire transition to the SP stage and that DP thymocytes rely on TCR interactions with either MHC class I or Mice MHC class II for Foxp3 induction. These observations Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions and** are consistent with an instructive role for TCR signaling
in induction of Foxp3 expression and, therefore, T_R cell
development. Furthermore, these data rule out a
stochastic/selective model in which DP thymocytes
 $\frac{P_{$ **randomly upregulate Foxp3 prior to positive selection, were from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Additional presince this model predicts the presence of Foxp3-** viously described mouse lines used in these studies include: OT-II
expressing DP thymocytes in a MHC-deficient setting TCR, CD4-Cre, $\beta_2 M^{-/-}$, $Ab \beta^{-/-}$, and CD80^{-/-}C

MHC class II-restricted Foxp3-expressing cell populations also demonstrates that Foxp3 expression does Antibodies, FACS Analysis, and Cell Sorting not depend on specific CD4 or CD8 lineage choice. Conjugated antibodies were purchased from both BD Pharmingen
However, the fact that the great majority of Foxp3-
expressing thymocytes are MHC class II-restricted CD4
(Bect **SP suggests that the signals driving the CD4/CD8 lin- software. For analysis of CD4+CD25 × Foxp3gfp subsets, CD4+ eage choice may also be linked to Foxp3 induction. T cells were One possible explanation for the prevalence of Foxp3- enriched prior to FACS sorting by depletion of total lymph node** expressing CD4 SP is offered by the view that CD4 lin-
eage commitment requires a TCR signal of a greater
strength or extended duration than that needed for CD8
strength or extended duration than that needed for CD8
CD4-Pe **lineage commitment [\(Bosselut, 2004\)](#page-11-0). Notably, recent streptavidin. CD4+PE− cells were sorted into four populations studies from our lab suggest that a large number of based on expression of GFP and CD25 as indicated in [Figure 5](#page-7-0) to CD25⁺CD4⁺ T**_R cells express TCRs with an increased greater than 90% purity.
cuidity for solf pertide MHC esampleyes (Heigh at al. the FACSAria cell sorter. **the FACSAria cell sorter. avidity for self-peptide-MHC complexes [\(Hsieh et al.,](#page-11-0) [2004](#page-11-0)). Together with observations that coexpression of transgenes encoding a TCR and its cognate ligand can T Cell Functional Assays promote the development of CD4+CD25+** T_R cells Proliferation and suppression assays were preformed as de-
 Consider the cells 2002: Lordon at al. 2001) those recessibled, with modifications as indicated in the figure [\(Apostolou et al., 2002; Jordan et al., 2001\)](#page-11-0), these re-
suits argue that the TCR avidity of the bulk of T_R cells
is likely to fall within the grey area between positive and
negative selection, and would allow for stron **more persistent TCR signal resulting in the prevalent dendritic cells prepared as described [\(Mach et al., 2000\)](#page-11-0). All data**

In conclusion, data presented herein argue that

For analysis of pathogen-specific immune responses, infections,

For analysis of pathogen-specific immune responses, infections,

The starting of dominant

Tell starting of expression defines the T_B cell lineage. The fatal autoimmune disease observed in mice with T cell-specific ab-
lation of Foxp3 demonstrates T_R cell-mediated domi-
Total RNA was isolated by using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Bio**nant tolerance to be a vital mechanism of immune tinylated antisense cRNA was prepared by using two cycles of homeostasis. We suggest that the need for such a dedi-** in vitro amplification according to the Affymetrix Small Sample La-
 cated mechanism was driven by the emergence of MHC, beling Protocol II. 15 µg biotinylated cRN cated mechanism was driven by the emergence of MHC-

restricted recognition by T cells and the associated

developmental requirements for reactivity with self-

peptide-MHC complexes. The early onset of the lym-
 $\frac{15}{4$ **peptide-MHC complexes. The early onset of the lym- 1.5 [\(Gentleman et al., 2004\)](#page-11-0) for the statistical software R [\(http://](http://www.r-project.org)**

[hashi et al., 1998](#page-11-0)). not specifically developing to limit pathogen-specific Our analysis of MHC-deficient (b*2M−/−A*b*b −/−***)** *Foxp3gfp* **responses. Finally, the absolute dependence of Foxp3**

stochastic/selective model in which DP thymocytes *Ptprca Pep3b***/BoyJ, and C57BL/6J-***Rag1tm1Mom* **and TCR**βδ**−/− mice** expressing DP thymocytes in a MHC-deficient setting.
TCR, CD4-Cre, $\beta_2 M^{-1}$, $Ab\beta_1^{-1}$, and CD80⁻¹-CD86⁻¹. All mide
The unexpected presence of both MHC class I- and used at the age of 6-12 weeks unless specified in

**negative selection, and would allow for stronger and/or the presence of irradiated, MACS-purified, CD11c+ FLT3L-induced are shown as mean [³H]thymidine incorporation in triplicate cul-
In conclusion, data, presented, berein argue, that tures. Error bars indicate standard deviation of the mean.**

tolerance. Based on these data, we propose that Foxp3 mice were conducted as previously described [\(Tyznik et al., 2004\)](#page-11-0).

phoproliferative syndrome in mice deficient in Foxp3, [www.r-project.org\)](http://www.r-project.org). Expression values were background corrected,

normalized, and summarized by using the default settings of the Gentleman, R.C., Carey, V.J., Bates, D.M., Bolstad, B., Dettling, M., *gcrma* **package [\(Wu et al., 2004\)](#page-12-0). As these values exhibited inten- Dudoit, S., Ellis, B., Gautier, L., Ge, Y., Gentry, J., et al. (2004). Biolect differentially expressed genes for each pairwise comparison. and bioinformatics. Genome Biol.** *5***, R80.** Formal example along the intensity scale, probe sets with a fold-
change ≥ 2.57 standard deviations away from the local mean and
a minimum average log₂ average intensity value of 4 were selected.
Heatmaps were gener

4% paraformaldehyde in PBS prior to deposition on poly-L-lysine**coated slides by cytospin. Direct GFP fluorescence and DAPI sky, A.Y. (2004). Recognition of the peripheral self by naturally ariscounterstain were imaged with an API-Delta Vision deconvolution ing CD25+ CD4+ T cell receptors. Immunity** *21***, 267–277.** microscopy system. Thymic sections were prepared and stained

as described (Lehar et al., 2004) with rabbit anti-GFP antibody, di-

goxigenin-conjugated mAb 3G10, and Alexa-647-conjugated anti-

EP1(6C3); followed by Alexa r abbit anti-fluorescein. Images were acquired with a Leica SP1/MP **confocal microscope. Brunkow, M.E., Ziegler, S.F., and Ramsdell, F. (2001). The amount**

Supplemental Data including four supplemental figures are avail**able at [http://www.immunity.com/cgi/content/full/22/3/329/DC1/.](http://www.immunity.com/cgi/content/full/22/3/329/DC1/) munol.** *4***, 337–342.**

We thank K. Forbush, P. deRoos, Y. Liang, C. Plata, and L. Karpik Dranoff, G. (2000). Differences in dendritic cells stimulated in vivo For technical expertise and mouse colony management and A. 1yz-

in the discussions and the matter of the Hudebors of the Hudden stimulating factor or Fit3-ligand. Cancer Res. 60, 3239-3246.

ally, we thank A. Gallegos, M. lab for thoughtful advice and provocative discussions. This work of CD4+CD25+ regulatory I cells is necessary, but not sufficient,
was supported by training grapts from the National Institutes of for induction of organ-spe **was supported by training grants from the National Institutes of for induction of value of the Cancer Bessersh Institute (J.D.F.) and grants and the Cancer Bessersh Institute (J.D.F.) and grants and the Gancer Bessersh In Health (NIH) and the Cancer Research Institute (J.D.F.) and grants from the NIH (A.Y.R., A.G.F.). A.Y.R. is a Howard Hughes Medical McHugh, R.S., Whitters, M.J., Piccirillo, C.A., Young, D.A., Shevach,**

Bosselut, R. (2004). CD4/CD8-lineage differentiation in the thymus:
from nuclear effectors to membrane signals. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 4, tions than answers. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2, 389–400. **529–540. Taguchi, O., and Takahashi, T. (1996). Administration of anti-**

dell, F. (2001). Disruption of a new forkhead/winged-helix protein, Takahashi, T., Kuniyasu, Y., Toda, M., Sakaguchi, N., Itoh, M., Iwata, scurfin, results in the fatal lymphoproliferative disorder of the scurfy M., Shimizu, J., and Sakaguchi, S. (1998). Immunologic self-tolermouse. Nat. Genet. *27***, 68–73. ance maintained by CD25+CD4+ naturally anergic and suppressive**

S.F., and Ramsdell, F. (1999). Cellular and molecular characteriza**tion of the scurfy mouse mutant. J. Immunol.** *162***, 2546–2554. Tandon, P., Chaturvedi, U.C., and Mathur, A. (1979). Dengue virus-**

grams the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T **cells. Nat. Immunol.** *4***, 330–336. Tyznik, A.J., Sun, J.C., and Bevan, M.J. (2004). The CD8 population**

restricted T cells. J. Exp. Med. *199***, 559–565. A. (2002). Homeostasis and anergy of CD4(+)CD25(+) suppressor T cells in vivo. Nat. Immunol.** *3***, 33–41. Wolfer, A., Bakker, T., Wilson, A., Nicolas, M., Ioannidis, V., Littman,**

conductor: open software development for computational biology

Hori, S., Nomura, T., and Sakaguchi, S. (2003). Control of regulatory Microscopy and Immunohistochemistry T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. Science 299,
For single-cell imaging, purified CD4+CD25+ T cells were fixed with 1057-1061.
4% paraformaldehyde in PBS prior to depo

of scurfin protein determines peripheral T cell number and responsiveness. J. Immunol. *167***, 6312–6320.**

Supplemental Data Khattri, R., Cox, T., Yasayko, S.A., and Ramsdell, F. (2003). An

Lehar, S.M., Dooley, J., Farr, A.G., and Bevan, M.J. (2004). Notch ligands Delta1 and Jagged1 transmit distinct signals to T cell pre-Acknowledgments cursors. Blood *105***, 1440–1447.**

Mach, N., Gillessen, S., Wilson, S.B., Sheehan, C., Mihm, M., and

Institute investigator. E.M., Collins, M., and Byrne, M.C. (2002). CD4(+)CD25(+) immunoregulatory T cells: gene expression analysis reveals a functional role for the glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor. Immunity *¹⁶***, Received: January 13, 2005 311–323.**

Revised: January 21, 2005 Sakaguchi, S., Sakaguchi, N., Asano, M., Itoh, M., and Toda, M. Accepted: January 26, 2005 (1995). Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by activated T cells Published: March 22, 2005 expressing IL-2 receptor alpha-chains (CD25). Breakdown of a single mechanism of self-tolerance causes various autoimmune dis- References eases. J. Immunol. *¹⁵⁵***, 1151–1164.**

Apostolou, I., Sarukhan, A., Klein, L., and von Boehmer, H. (2002).

Origin of regulatory T cells with known specificity for antigen. Nat. (2001). Scurfin (FOXP3) acts as a repressor of transcription and

Immunol. 3, 756–7

Brunkow, M.E., Jeffery, E.W., Hjerrild, K.A., Paeper, B., Clark, L.B., interleukin-2 receptor alpha antibody in vivo induces localized au-
Yasayko, S.A., Wilkinson, J.E., Galas, D., Ziegler, S.F., and Rams- toimmune diseas

T cells: induction of autoimmune disease by breaking their anergic/ Clark, L.B., Appleby, M.W., Brunkow, M.E., Wilkinson, J.E., Ziegler,

Fontenot, J.D., Gavin, M.A., and Rudensky, A.Y. (2003). Foxp3 pro- induced thymus-derived suppressor cells in the spleen of mice.
 For the development and function of CD4+CD25+ requistory T lmmunology 38, 653–658.

Gavin, M.A., Clarke, S.R., Negrou, E., Gallegos, A., and Rudensky, **in CD4-deficient mice is heavily contaminated with MHC class II-**
A (2002) Homeostasis and anergy of CD4(+)CD25(+) suppressor T restricted T cells. J. Exp

D.R., Lee, P.P., Wilson, C.B., Held, W., MacDonald, H.R., and Radtke, F. (2001). Inactivation of Notch 1 in immature thymocytes does not perturb CD4 or CD8T cell development. Nat. Immunol. *2***, 235–241.**

Wood, K.J., and Sakaguchi, S. (2003). Regulatory T cells in transplantation tolerance. Nat. Rev. Immunol. *3***, 199–210.**

Wu, Z., Irizarry, R.A., Gentleman, R., Martinez-Murillo, F., and Spencer, F. (2004). A model based background adjustment for oligonucleotide expression arrays. Johns Hopkins University, Department of Biostatistics Working Papers, *Working Paper 1***, [http://www.](http://www.bepress.com/jhubiostat/paper1) [bepress.com/jhubiostat/paper1.](http://www.bepress.com/jhubiostat/paper1)**

Zahorsky-Reeves, J.L., and Wilkinson, J.E. (2001). The murine mutation scurfy (sf) results in an antigen-dependent lymphoproliferative disease with altered T cell sensitivity. Eur. J. Immunol. *31***, 196–204.**

Accession Numbers

The complete microarray data set has been deposited in the GEO database at NCBI (accession number GSE2389).